

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/651,686  | 08/29/2003     | Roy E. Gogel         | 34065-01                | 34065-01 9216    |  |
| 7:  | 590 05/20/2004 |                      | EXAM                    | INER             |  |
| John B. Hardaway, III<br>NEXSEN PRUET JACOBS & POLLARD, LLC |                |                      | GALL, LLOYD A           |                  |  |
| P.O. Box 1010   |                | RD, LLC              | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER   |                  |  |
| Greenville, SC  |                |                      | 3676                    |                  |  |
|   |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  | 1   |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
|  | 10/651,686  | GOGEL, ROY E.   |   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  | <u>t,                                      </u> |  |  |  |
|  | Lloyd A. Gall   | 3676  |   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence addres  | SS  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | unication.                                      |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |   | •   |   |  |  |  |
| •  | action is non-final.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | wn from consideration.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2003 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | a) accepted or b) dobjected by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1  |   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No<br>ed in this National Sta  | ge  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 4)  Interview Summary<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Da  | ate   |   |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:   | atent Application (PTO-152  | <b>?</b> )                                      |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3676

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 3, line 16, "tope" should be replaced with –top--. On page 4, line 2, "shackles" should be replaced with –shackle--. On page 8, line 14, "as" should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the tab retainer of claim 6, the stopper of claim 10 and the doorframe of claim 19 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claims 6, 10, 11 and 15-17 are objected to because of the following informalities: It is not clear in what sense the (not shown) tab retainer is located adjacent the tab guide, since figure 3 shows that this would appear to interfere with the padlock location. The same can be said of the (not shown) stopper of claim 10. In claim 11, line 4, there is no antecedent basis for "the key receiving portion". Claim 15 should apparently depend from claim 11 (compare with claim 7 which depends from claim 1). In claim 16, line 2, the first occurrence of "is" should be deleted. In claim 17, line 6, "attaching said door latch to said housing" is not clear, since lines 3-4 claim that the housing is a part of the door latch. Appropriate correction is required.

rejected, as understood, on prior art, as follows.

Art Unit: 3676

In view of the above claim objections to claims 6 and 10, claims 6 and 10 are

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reddick in view of East and Oliver.

Reddick teaches a latching device including a rigid housing 12, having a back wall 28, a side wall 30, 32, 34, 36, an opening 40 to receive the padlock 66 therethrough, a second opening 54 for accessing the keyhole of the padlock, a locking pin ingress/egress 91 through the sidewall 30, a slidable locking pin 60, a tab guide 52 defined by a partition attached to the interior of the housing and including a channel for the tab of the locking pin, a cylindrical hollow pin guide 68, a tab 61 with an opening on the slidable locking pin 60 to receive the padlock, a rigid cap 14 over the egress 91 as seen in fig. 6, and a stopper defined by padlock slot 69 as seen in fig. 7 to receive the tab of the locking pin, and coupling means (claim 17) defined by a doorframe aperture (F,S) as seen in fig. 3. East teaches attachment bolts 29 at the back wall of a housing as seen in fig. 3. Oliver teaches that fixedly mounted fixedly mounted attachment bolts 44 used with a lock mounting. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize fixedly mounted attachment bolts at the

Art Unit: 3676

back wall 28 of Reddick, in view of the respective teachings of East and Oliver, the motivation being to provide a strong connection with the door on which it is mounted.

Claim 6 as understood is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the modified Reddick reference as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Blehi.

Blehi teaches a hinged, slotted tab retainer 45. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a hinged, slotted tab retainer with the lock of Reddick, in view of the teaching of Blehi, to securely lock the locking pin.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the modified Reddick reference as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of Larsen et al.

Larsen teaches a locking pin 23 used with a rotatable stanchion 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the lock of Reddick with a stanchion, in view of the teaching of Larsen et al, to lock the doors of a trailer.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lloyd A. Gall whose telephone number is 703-308-0828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 3676

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on 703-308-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LG LG May 16, 2004 Lloyd A. Gall
Primary Examiner

Page 5